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ABSTRACT: The spindle pole body (SPB) is a multiprotein complex that organizes microtubules in yeast. Due to its large size and association with the nuclear membrane, little is known about its detailed structure. In particular, although many SPB components and some of the interactions between them have been identified, the molecular details of how most of these interactions occur are not known. The prevalence of predicted coiled-coil regions in SPB proteins suggests that some interactions may occur via coiled coils. Here this hypothesis is supported by biochemical characterization of isolated coiled-coil peptides derived from SPB proteins. Formation of four strongly self-associating coiled-coil complexes from Spc29, Spc42, and Spc72 was demonstrated by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy and a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay. Many weaker self- and heteroassociations were also detected by CD, FRET, and/or cross-linking. The thermal stabilities of nine candidate homooligomers were assessed; six unfolded cooperatively with melting temperatures ranging from <11 to >50 °C. Solution studies established that coiled-coil peptides derived from Spc42 and Spc72 form parallel dimers, and this was confirmed for Spc42 by a high-resolution crystal structure. These data contribute to a growing body of knowledge that will ultimately provide a detailed model of the SPB structure.

The spindle pole body (SPB)1 is the microtubule organizing center of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (1, 2). This large multiprotein complex functions to coordinate and nucleate both nuclear and cytoplasmic microtubules and is necessary for chromosome segregation, nuclear positioning, and karyogamy. Electron microscopy and tomography experiments have provided a low-resolution structure of the SPB (3–5), showing a multilayered short cylinder spanning the nuclear membrane with cytoplasmic and nuclear microtubules connected to the outer and inner layers, respectively. A “half-bridge” structure, located on one side of the SPB and within the nuclear membrane, serves as the site of SPB duplication. Genetic and biochemical studies have identified approximately 20 proteins that comprise the SPB complex (1, 6–8). Using immuno-EM, proteins have been localized to regions of the SPB (core, membrane-associated, half-bridge) or particular layers or plaques of the SPB core (outer, intermediate 1, intermediate 2, central, inner) (6, 9) (Figure 1). Given the low diversity of proteins comprising the SPB, up to 1000 copies of each are required for assembly of the ∼300–500 MDa complex (1, 7).
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Three-dimensional structural information is key to understanding the mechanism and function of important complexes such as the SPB. High-resolution structures are not available for the complex and are known for only three of the individual components: calmodulin (Cmd1) (10), γ-tubulin (Tub4) (11), and centrin (Cdc31) (12). A structure of Cdc31 in complex with part of component Sfi1 has also been reported (13). Interactions between SPB proteins have been determined using coimmunoprecipitation, genetic synthetic lethality, yeast two-hybrid assays, and FRET experiments (1, 7, 14, 15). For some SPB protein–protein interactions, the regions of interaction have been localized by disruption of interaction via point or deletion mutants (1, 6).

Most SPB proteins are predicted to contain one or more coiled-coil domains (1, 7). Coiled coils are a common structural motif, consisting of two or more α-helices wrapped around each other with a left-handed twist (16–18). Coiled-coil sequences exhibit a distinctive heptad pattern, \([abc\_defg]_n\), that allows prediction of coiled-coil-forming regions using computer algorithms (19–21). The \(a\) and \(d\) positions are usually hydrophobic and pack into the coiled-coil interface. Coiled coils can associate intra- or intermolecularily, as homodimers or heterodimers, and in a parallel or antiparallel orientation. The motif often contributes to fiber formation or functions as an oligomerization or interaction domain. Coiled coils are common in cytoskeletal proteins, motor proteins, transcription factors, and membrane fusion proteins (16, 22).

Whether coiled-coil structures actually form within or between proteins of the SPB has not been confirmed experimentally. If present, they may serve to connect components through protein–protein interactions, either as homooligomers or heterooligomers, or as intramolecular structural features within individual components. In this study, we computationally identified and experimentally isolated predicted coiled-coil regions of SPB proteins and tested whether and how they interact. Coiled coils often retain their ability to fold when isolated from a larger protein context (23, 24). We focused on the 10 core proteins of the SPB complex in this study. These proteins are the most likely to interact, based on their close proximity within the complex and prior evidence supporting protein–protein interactions among them. We characterized four strongly associating coiled-coil homooligomers. Our data also support the possible significance of five weakly associating homooligomers and two heterooligomers. The high-resolution structure of a coiled-coil homodimer from Spc42 corroborates our solution assays and contributes to atomic resolution data describing the SPB.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Coiled-Coil Prediction.** Coiled-coil regions were identified in SPB protein sequences using Paircoil2 (25) with a \(P\) score cutoff of 0.96 and a 28-residue window (Figure 1 and Tables 1 and S1 in Supporting Information). Many of the regions were also high scoring according to the program Marcoil (20). Predicted coiled-coil regions were manually inspected, and N- and C-terminal ends were chosen based on a sharp drop in score (often at a Pro or Gly residue), a shift in register, and/or a \(P\) score of \(\leq 0.93\) (often corresponding to the loss of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic patterning). The first and last residues were chosen to occupy predicted \(b\), \(c\), or \(f\) positions, with the intent of directing linker sequences away from the coiled-coil interface. Because more than one coiled-coil region was predicted in some proteins, all peptides were named by appending “-#” after the protein name, where # designates the order of the detected coiled coil in the N- to C-terminal direction. Predictions for Cnm67 were ambiguous with respect to whether there may be one long coiled coil (Cnm67_2) or two shorter coiled coils (Cnm67_3 and Cnm67_4) in this protein; constructs accounting for both possibilities were tested (Figure S1 in Supporting Information). Multicoil was used to predict whether each coiled-coil region was more likely to form a dimer or a trimer (26).

**Domain Annotation.** Existing computational methods were used to annotate protein domains, folds, and motifs in SPB proteins. The following programs were run using default settings: mGenThreader (27) (only confidence levels of certain or highly probable were considered), DOMAC (28), and InterProScan (29).

**Protein Production.** Coding sequences corresponding to the individual coiled-coil regions of each SPB protein were generated using oligonucleotide assembly by PCR, with codons optimized for expression in *Escherichia coli* (30). Synthetic genes were ligated into a modified pET43a vector (Novagen) that contained the coding sequence for a His\(_6\) tag for purification, a Flag tag for solubility, a tyrosine for detection by absorbance at 280 nm, and a cysteine at either the N- or C-terminus for differential labeling (Table S1 in Supporting Information). The sole native cysteine among all predicted coiled coils (Spc98_1 position 655, predicted coiled-coil heptad position \(b\)) was mutated to a serine. Plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BB101 or BL21 cells (31). Expression of proteins was induced with 1 mM IPTG at OD\(_{600}\) = 0.4–0.6 for 4 h. Proteins were purified from cell lysate in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride with NiNTA resin (Qiagen), eluted with 60% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, lyophilized, and stored at 4 °C. Protein concentrations were determined using the method of Edelhoch (32). Protein purity was greater than 90–95% by SDS–PAGE. The molecular weights of the proteins were verified by MALDI-TOF spectrometry to 0.1% accuracy.

For cross-linking experiments, synthetic genes were ligated into pSV282 (Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Center for Structural Biology) containing coding sequences for a His\(_6\) tag, maltose binding protein (MBP), and a TEV cleavage

| Table 1: Predicted Coiled-Coil Regions of Core SPB Protein Sequences |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| coiled-coil name | systematic beginning residue | ending residue | Paircoil2 \(P\) score | dimer probability | trimer probability |
| Cnm67_1 YNL225c | 159 | 263 | 1.00 | 0.666 | 0.252 |
| Cnm67_2 YNL225c | 303 | 461 | 1.00 | 0.952 | 0.496 |
| Cnm67_3 YNL225c | 303 | 384 | 1.00 | 0.952 | 0.496 |
| Cnm67_4 YNL225c | 369 | 461 | 1.00 | 0.898 | 0.173 |
| Spc29_1 YPL124w | 7 | 45 | 0.99 | 0.477 | 0.098 |
| Spc29_2 YPL124w | 129 | 174 | 1.00 | 0.094 | 0.246 |
| Spc42_1 YKL042w | 53 | 137 | 1.00 | 0.946 | 0.492 |
| Spc42_2 YKL042w | 178 | 213 | 0.99 | 0.002 | 0.032 |
| Spc42_3 YKL042w | 246 | 315 | 0.99 | 0.017 | 0.068 |
| Spc72_1 YAL047c | 97 | 160 | 1.00 | 0.235 | 0.428 |
| Spc72_2 YAL047c | 306 | 469 | 1.00 | 0.268 | 0.389 |
| Spc72_3 YAL047c | 568 | 622 | 1.00 | 0.464 | 0.228 |
| Spc97 YHR172w | 171 | 234 | 0.98 | 0.001 | 0.032 |
| Spc98_1 YNL126w | 622 | 690 | 0.97 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| Spc98_2 YNL126w | 789 | 832 | 0.97 | 0.000 | 0.005 |

\(\#\) Determined using Multicoil (26). The higher probability, indicating the predicted oligomer, is in bold.
Expression of proteins was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at 33 °C for 2 h. Proteins were purified under native conditions with NiNTA resin (Qiagen), dialyzed into 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7, and stored at −80 °C.

For crystallization, S. cerevisiae DNA encoding residues 65–138 from Spc42 was amplified by PCR and ligated into the expression vector pAED4 (33). The resulting plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21 pLysS cells (30). Expression of proteins was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at OD_{600} = 0.4 for 2 h. Proteins were purified in 8 M urea on a Sephadex G-50 size exclusion column (Pharmacia) and subsequently by reverse-phase HPLC (Vydac C18 column) (39).

Unique cysteines were reacted with either iodoacetamide (Sigma), fluorescein-5-maleimide (Invitrogen), or Alexa 568-maleimide (Invitrogen). Lyophilized proteins were resuspended at 100 μM in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, and 5 M guanidine hydrochloride, pH 7.5, reduced with 1 mM TCEP, and incubated with 10–1000-fold excess conjugating molecule for 2–4 h. Alkylated proteins were separated from nonalkylated proteins and free iodoacetamide by reverse-phase HPLC (Vydac C18 column). Fluorophore-labeled proteins were desalted to remove free dye. Labeling efficiency was 80–90% based on SDS–PAGE and analytical reverse-phase HPLC analysis.

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy. Alkylated proteins were analyzed at 15–50 μM in 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were measured from 195 to 280 nm in 1 nm increments with 2 s averaging time at 25 °C and/or 4 °C, in triplicate, using an Aviv model 400 CD spectrophotometer with a 0.1 cm cell.

For proteins with detectable secondary structure, thermal denaturation curves were measured at 1.5–5 μM in 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7, in a 1 cm cell with stirring. Thermal melts were measured at 222 nm from 4 to 50–90 °C in 2 °C increments with 60 s equilibrium time and 30 s averaging time, and refolding was measured by cooling to 4 °C at the same rate. Temperatures for 50% thermal denaturation (T_m values) were approximated as the midpoint between fits to linear folded and unfolded baselines. In the absence of a lower baseline, the signal at the lowest temperature was used to approximate the value of a constant folded baseline, and an upper limit for the T_m is reported. The same T_m values were obtained within 1 °C whether increasing or decreasing temperature, indicating reversible unfolding and adequate equilibration.

Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Assay. Proteins labeled with fluorescein or Alexa 568 were assayed alone or mixed in pairs in triplicate at 5–10 μM in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 M guanidine hydrochloride, pH 7.5. Samples were prepared and mixed at 10-fold higher concentrations in 5 M guanidine hydrochloride and then diluted. Samples were incubated in wells of black 96-well plates for 1 h at 25 °C. Fluorescence was measured with a Perkin-Elmer Victor3 fluorescence plate reader at two different excitation and emission wavelengths: donor channel, excite 490 nm and emit 535 nm, and FRET channel, excite 490 nm and emit 615 nm. The FRET signal was corrected and normalized with the formula (34), FRET = (Fm − Fa − Fd(Dm/Dd))/Dm, where D = donor channel, F = FRET channel, a = acceptor sample alone (Alexa 568-labeled), d = donor sample alone (fluorescein-labeled), and m = mix of donor and acceptor samples, and averaged over three independent assays. Fluorescence was also measured after incubating the samples for 1 h at 4 °C and after the addition of 0.4 M trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), both at 25 and 4 °C. Control coiled coils (cJun, Fra1, Fos, Creb3, and cortexillin) were included in each assay to provide consistency (Figure S2 in Supporting Information).

Analytical Ultracentrifugation. Alkylated proteins were dialyzed against reference buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7) and prepared at three concentrations each. Sedimentation equilibrium samples were spun in a Beckman XL-1 analytical ultracentrifuge at 4 °C for 10–18 h (until equilibrated, as assessed by differences between sequential scans) at 20000, 25000, and 38000 rpm. Concentration was monitored by absorbance at 220–230 nm. Data were analyzed globally with the programs Ultrascan (35) and Heteroanalysis (36). Sedimentation velocity samples were spun at 20 °C for 12 h at 42000 rpm. Concentration was monitored by interference. Data were analyzed globally with the program Sedanal (37). Sednterp was used to calculate partial specific volumes from the amino acid sequences and solvent density from its composition (38).

Cross-Linking. Alkylated proteins were resuspended at 50 μM in 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7. MBP-fused proteins were used at 25 μM in 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7. Proteins were assayed alone or mixed in pairs, at 40 μM for alkylated proteins or 5 μM for MBP-fused proteins, and incubated 4 h at 25 °C after 5 min at 50 °C. Bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate (BS3) (Pierce) was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM to the protein samples, incubated for 10 min, and quenched with 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE.

Crystallization. Two crystal forms of Spc42_1 (Table 3) were obtained at ambient temperature using the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method (39). For crystal form I, 1 μL of a 10 mg/mL protein solution in 10 mM phosphate, pH 8.0, was mixed with 1 μL of reservoir solution containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM MgCl_2, and 25% PEG 4000 and equilibrated against 500 μL of reservoir solution for several weeks. Crystal form II was grown using a similar approach but with reservoir solution containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.25, 200 mM CoCl_2, and 25% PEG 3350. Crystals were taken directly from the crystallization drop and flash-frozen in a stream of cold nitrogen (X-stream cryogenic crystal cooler; Molecular Structure Corp.).

Structure Determination. Diffraction data were collected at the X4A beamline at Brookhaven National Laboratories, indexed using DENZO, and scaled with SCALEPACK (40). Initial phases were determined by molecular replacement using the program AMoRe (41) and a search molecule constructed by placing two copies of GCN4-p1 head-to-tail to yield an ~60-residue parallel dimer (39, 42). All side chains were truncated to serine. The correct side chains were built into the model using O and COOT as electron density became apparent during refinement with CNS (39, 43–45). Refinement included rounds of simulated annealing, positional refinement, and individual B factor refinement, as well as model rebuilding using O. Bulk solvent and anisotropic B factor corrections were applied throughout the refinement.
High anisotropy of the diffraction data was modified with ellipsoidal truncation and anisotropic scaling using the Diffraction Anisotropy Server (46). TLS refinement with Refmac (47) was used to improve final statistics (Table 3). We attempted to improve refinement by testing for the possible influence of twinning using the programs DETWIN, ZANUDA, and the UCLA twinning server (41, 48, 49), but the statistics did not improve. The final models were checked for potential model bias using simulated omit maps calculated with CNS. Graphics were made using PyMOL (50) (Figure 5). The structure contains two chains, A and B, corresponding to residues 66–129 and 67–132 of Spc42p, respectively; 119 water molecules are included. The model exhibits good geometry as determined by PROCHECK (51), with bond lengths and angles within the expected ranges. The Spc42_1 structures in the two crystal forms are very similar (RMSD between Cα atoms is 0.9 Å), although structural superposition reveals slightly different overall bending due to slightly different crystal contacts. Structural differences occur mainly on the surfaces of the two crystal variants, whereas the cores are virtually identical. Because data collected from the crystal of form II have higher resolution and the corresponding structure has better refinement statistics, we refer to crystal form II in all further discussions, and this is the form deposited in the PDB (2Q6Q).

RESULTS

Many Coiled Coils, but Few Other Domains, Are Predicted in Core SPB Protein Sequences. Although many coiled coils had been predicted in SPB proteins previously (1, 7), we used the updated program Paircoil2 to identify the locations of putative coiled coils within the core SPB protein sequences. Paircoil2 is a version of Paircoil with improved accuracy and sensitivity (19, 25). All but one of the core SPB proteins were predicted to contain one or more coiled-coil regions at the cutoff used. A total of 15 coiled-coil regions, ranging in length from 32 to 174 amino acids, were selected for experimental testing (Figure 1 and Tables 1 and S1 in Supporting Information).

Very few domains or structures other than coiled coils were predicted by a variety of domain- and fold-recognition programs. Tub4 was predicted to have a tubulin fold as expected, given that the structure of the human homologue, γ-tubulin, has been solved (11). The only other protein that was confidently annotated with domains and/or structures other than coiled coils was Nud1. The C-terminal half of Nud1 was predicted to adopt a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) structure. LRR domains are made of repeated structural units of α-helices and β-strands and are involved in protein–protein recognition (52). These domains may be important for assembling the SPB complex structure.

Several Core SPB Coiled Coils Self-Associate. Among 18 predicted coiled-coil regions in the SPB core proteins, we analyzed 15 as recombinant peptides using CD and a FRET assay. We excluded Spc110 because it was predicted to contain one long coiled coil (∼650 residues) that has already been shown to act as a structural support between the inner and central layers and is highly likely to be a parallel homooligomer (14, 53). Paircoil2 predicted a coiled coil in each of Tub4 and Cmd1. These regions mapped to surface helices and did not form coiled coils in available crystal structures and thus were not characterized (10, 11).

Coiled coils fold and interact cooperatively and exhibit α-helical CD spectra, often with approximately equal minima at 208 and 222 nm. Four SPB peptides, Spc29_2, Spc42_1, Spc72_1, and Spc72_3, met this criterion, with helical content ranging from ∼40 to 60% at 25 °C as calculated based on the ellipticity at 222 nm (Figure 2A and Table 2) (54). Approximately 25–35% of each protein sequence consisted of extra amino acids (tags and linkers) not expected to form α-helical structure. Melting temperatures (Tm) were determined for the proteins that folded cooperatively:
The test reflections included 10% of the total reflections for reflection helicity of the SPB-derived portion of the peptide, assuming tags and linkers are not helical.

Table 3: Data Processing and Refinement Statistics for Spc42_1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>crystal form</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P2_1 2_1 2_1</td>
<td>P2_1 2_1 2_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell dimensions a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>40.44, 55.89, 59.80</td>
<td>42.86, 57.79, 57.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α = β = γ (deg)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution (Å)</td>
<td>20.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>20.0 ± 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/(</td>
<td>Fo</td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all atoms/waters</td>
<td>1209/119</td>
<td>1192/119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completeness (%)</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unique reflections (working/free)</td>
<td>6938/336</td>
<td>9799/475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R cryst/R free</td>
<td>0.212/0.344</td>
<td>0.212/0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average B factor (Å²)</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>36.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD from ideal values</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sp29_2 (52 °C), Spc42_1 (53 °C), and Spc72_3 (39 °C) (Figure 2C and Table 2). Spc72_1 showed gradual, noncooperative loss of helical structure with increasing temperature. Almost all possible pairwise combinations of predicted core SPB coiled-coil regions of Spc97 and Spc98 were only tested against the Spc72-derived peptides (9) (Figure 1). Because each peptide was expressed independently with an N-terminal and a C-terminal cysteine, and then labeled separately with fluorescein-maleimide and Alexa 568-maleimide, confidence in the detected associations was gained by assaying multiple combinations of peptide pairs. Fluorescein-labeled Spc29_2, Spc72_1, and Spc72_2 interacted with multiple peptides, but the same interactions were not always observed for the Alexa-labeled constructs. Five pairs were observed to interact consistently, independent of which fluorophore they were labeled with. In decreasing order of FRET signal, they are Spc29_2:Spc72_1, Spc72_1:Spc97, Spc72_1:Spc72_2, Spc72_1:Spc98_1, and Spc97:Spc98_1.

We attempted further characterization to confirm the heteroassociations. CD spectra of potentially interacting components were compared before and after mixing, but no change in signal was detected (data not shown). However, at least one of the participants in each candidate heterocomplex also homoassociated, and this observation is consistent with stronger homoassociation relative to heteroassociation. Using a cross-linking assay, association of Spc72_1 with both Spc97 and Spc72_2 was detected with the bifunctional amine-reactive chemical BS3 (Figure S5 in Supporting Information). Heteroassociation of Spc98_1 with Spc72_1 or Spc97 was not observed in cross-linking experiments (data not shown), but these pairs gave the weakest FRET signal of all putative heterocomplexes, and the cross-linking assay may be less sensitive than the FRET assay. Interaction of Spc29_2 and Spc72_1 was also not detected by cross-linking (Figure S5 in Supporting Information), despite strong FRET signal. We judged that the FRET signal was likely caused by nonspecific interactions of the fluorescein-labeled constructs. Thus, FRET and cross-linking support weak heteroassociation of Spc72_1:Spc97 and Spc72_1:Spc72_2 (Table 2) and possibly very weak heteroassociation of

Several Core SPB Coiled Coils Heteroassociate. In addition to mediating association between multiple copies of the same protein, coiled-coil helices could heteroassociate, either inter- or intramolecularly. Almost all possible pairwise combinations of predicted core SPB coiled-coil regions were mixed and tested against each other in the FRET assay under a variety of conditions (Figures 3A and S3 in Supporting Information). Because of their location within the SPB, the putative coiled-coil regions of Spc97 and Spc98 were only tested against the Spc72-derived peptides (9) (Figure 1). Because each peptide was expressed independently with an N-terminal and a C-terminal cysteine, and then labeled separately with fluorescein-maleimide and Alexa 568-maleimide, confidence in the detected associations was gained by assaying multiple combinations of peptide pairs. Fluorescein-labeled Spc29_2, Spc72_1, and Spc72_2 interacted with multiple peptides, but the same interactions were not always observed for the Alexa-labeled constructs. Five pairs were observed to interact consistently, independent of which fluorophore they were labeled with. In decreasing order of FRET signal, they are Spc29_2:Spc72_1, Spc72_1:Spc97, Spc72_1:Spc72_2, Spc72_1:Spc98_1, and Spc97:Spc98_1.

We attempted further characterization to confirm the heteroassociations. CD spectra of potentially interacting components were compared before and after mixing, but no change in signal was detected (data not shown). However, at least one of the participants in each candidate heterocomplex also homoassociated, and this observation is consistent with stronger homoassociation relative to heteroassociation. Using a cross-linking assay, association of Spc72_1 with both Spc97 and Spc72_2 was detected with the bifunctional amine-reactive chemical BS3 (Figure S5 in Supporting Information). Heteroassociation of Spc98_1 with Spc72_1 or Spc97 was not observed in cross-linking experiments (data not shown), but these pairs gave the weakest FRET signal of all putative heterocomplexes, and the cross-linking assay may be less sensitive than the FRET assay. Interaction of Spc29_2 and Spc72_1 was also not detected by cross-linking (Figure S5 in Supporting Information), despite strong FRET signal. We judged that the FRET signal was likely caused by nonspecific interactions of the fluorescein-labeled constructs. Thus, FRET and cross-linking support weak heteroassociation of Spc72_1:Spc97 and Spc72_1:Spc72_2 (Table 2) and possibly very weak heteroassociation of

Table 2: Summary of Biophysical Analyses of Strongly Self-Associating, Weakly Self-Associating, and Weakly Heteroassociating Coiled Coils of the SPB Core Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>class</th>
<th>coiled coil</th>
<th>helical content (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>T&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>helix orientation</th>
<th>expected MW for 1, 2, or 3 helices&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>observed MW&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>Spc29_2</td>
<td>44 [59]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>8289, 16578, 24867</td>
<td>24900, 25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc42_1</td>
<td>57 [71]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>parallel</td>
<td>13245, 26990, 39735</td>
<td>24800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc72_1</td>
<td>38 [48]</td>
<td>noncooperative</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>10299, 20598, 30897</td>
<td>15300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc72_3</td>
<td>61 [79]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>parallel</td>
<td>9555, 19110, 28665</td>
<td>17400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>Cnm67_1</td>
<td>47 [55]</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>parallel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cnm67_2</td>
<td>66 [75]</td>
<td>&lt;19</td>
<td>parallel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cnm67_3</td>
<td>60 [74]</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
<td>parallel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc72_2</td>
<td>28 [32]</td>
<td>noncooperative</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc97</td>
<td>27 [35]</td>
<td>noncooperative</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hetero</td>
<td>Spc72_1:Spc72_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spc72_1:Spc72_2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ambiguous</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Calculated based on ellipticity at 222 nm at 25 °C for strong complexes and 4 °C for weak complexes (S4); values in brackets correspond to helicity of the SPB-derived portion of the peptide, assuming tags and linkers are not helical. <sup>b</sup> ND, not determined. <sup>c</sup> Weight(s) closest to the observed value is (are) underlined. <sup>d</sup> Based on modest differences (Figures 3B and S3 and S4 in Supporting Information).
The Spc29_2:Spc72_1 interaction is likely nonspecific.

Characterization of Core SPB Coiled-Coil Interactions.

We determined the molecular weights of the strongly self-associating coiled coils using analytical ultracentrifugation. Sedimentation equilibrium data for both Spc42_1 and Spc72_3 fit well to single-species models, with observed molecular weights corresponding to those expected for dimers (Figure 4A and Table 2). Small deviations from the expected molecular weights have been observed previously with coiled coils (55, 56).

Sedimentation equilibrium and sedimentation velocity data for Spc29_2 gave observed molecular weights between those expected for a dimer and a trimer when fit to a single-species model (Figure 4B). However, both data sets fit to a monomer—trimer equilibrium model as well as they fit to a single-species model, giving observed molecular weights close to those expected (Table 2). Multicoil predicted Spc29_2 to be a trimer (Table 1), and cross-linking experiments also support trimerization (Figure S5 in Supporting Information).

Sedimentation velocity data for Spc72_1 gave observed molecular weights between those expected for a monomer and a dimer when fit to a single-species model (Figure 4B and Table 2). The data fit as well to a monomer—dimer model but gave unrealistic observed molecular weights corresponding to 0.75 of a monomer and 1.5 of a monomer. Although Spc72_1 was predicted by Multicoil to be a trimer (Table 1), cross-linking experiments showed significant dimer and no trimer (Figure S5 in Supporting Information). Concentration-dependent CD signal ruled out intramolecular interactions as the origin of helical signal (Figure S6 in Supporting Information). The lack of cooperativity in the thermal melt, evidence of aggregation under certain condi-
tions, and our observation of multiple heteroassociations by FRET and cross-linking assays suggest that Spc72_1 has a propensity toward self- and heteroassembly. But it may require the rest of the protein and/or complex to form the functionally appropriate associations.

The FRET assay was designed to distinguish the orientation of coiled-coil helices, in cases where this is unique and well-defined. Parallel coiled coils with fluorophores attached at the same termini were expected to generate more FRET signal than coiled coils with fluorophores on opposite ends. The reverse was expected for antiparallel dimers. Large differences in FRET were not expected for antiparallel trimers or tetramers. This assay was validated using parallel coiled-coil dimers of varying lengths (Figure S2 in Supporting Information). We measured the FRET signals for all possible pairwise combinations of labeled core SPB peptides (Figures 3B and S3 and S4 in Supporting Information). Self-associating SPB coiled coils Spc42_1 and Spc72_3 consistently exhibited significantly greater FRET when fluorophores were at the same ends of the helices, supporting a parallel orientation (Table 2). Cnm67_1, Cnm67_2, and Cnm67_3 also showed a weak preference for parallel orientation. The remaining self-associating SPB coiled coils and all heteroassociating SPB coiled coils were not consistently observed to give greater FRET with any labeling scheme. This could be because they interact as antiparallel
trimers or tetramers, or in a staggered geometry, giving approximately equal FRET in either orientation. It could also be that these assemblies do not have a preference for a single orientation in the absence of the rest of the protein or complex. A third possibility is that the coiled coils were affected differentially by different combinations of fluorophores.

Crystal Structure of Spc42_1. Crystals of Spc42_1 belonged to space group $P_{2_1}2_12_1$ and contained one Spc42_1 homodimer in the asymmetric unit ($39^\circ$) (Table 3). Spc42_1 forms a parallel dimeric coiled coil (Figure 5), in agreement with the solution biophysical characterization and the predictions of Paircoil2 and Multicoil. Residues 67–128 of chain A and residues 67–131 of chain B were well defined in the electron density map. The length of the ordered part of Spc42_1 is $\sim 100$ Å, and the diameter of the Spc42_1 dimer is $\sim 24$ Å at its widest point (C$\alpha$–C$\alpha$ distances are $\sim 14$ Å across the dimer). Residues at the core positions show canonical knobs-into-holes packing ($57^\circ$). Fitting Crick parameters for an idealized coiled coil to residues 67–128 of Spc42_1 gave a superhelical radius ($R_0$) of 4.91 Å, an a-position phase angle ($\phi$) of 0.36 radians, and a superhelical frequency ($\omega_0$) of $-0.65$ radians/amino acid ($57^\circ$, $58^\circ$) (Figure 5D). The presence of a tyrosine (Tyr 100) in the d position of the fifth complete heptad is uncommon: only $\sim 1.7\%$ of d-position residues are Tyr in coiled coils of known structure that are longer than 21 residues (as judged by running the program SOCKET on recent releases of the PDB) ($56^\circ$).

The crystal structure shows that the C$\alpha$–C$\beta$ vectors of the tyrosine side chains from each helix point into the interface with perpendicular packing, as expected for d-position residues in parallel dimers ($58^\circ$, $59^\circ$), but the hydroxyphenyl groups point out of the core toward the bulk solvent. This same geometry is observed for lysine residues (Lys 97) in d positions at the N-terminus of the coiled coil. In both cases, $\phi$ deviates locally to a higher value, as expected because the side chains would leave a gap in the core if the backbone did not compensate by bringing the d positions closer (Figure 5D). Other deviations of the Crick parameters include an expected decrease in $R_0$ and $\phi$ at Ala 90, an a position.

DISCUSSION

The structure of the SPB is not known at atomic resolution for numerous reasons. Because there are only 1–2 copies of the complex in each cell, obtaining sufficient sample to study is difficult. Purified complexes are heterogeneous in their dimensions and in the number of associated proteins, sometimes including microtubule-associated proteins ($7^\circ$). Reconstitution of recombinant components has been achieved for some subcomplexes of the SPB but not yet for the entire
complex (60). Even with a homogeneous SPB preparation, the enormous size and low symmetry of some layers would present crystallographic obstacles. Given these challenges, a strategy of breaking the complex into component parts, characterizing these parts individually, and ultimately assembling them into a larger model is an appealing alternative.

Over a decade of work has uncovered the identity of the protein components of the SPB and their approximate locations within the complex (1, 6–9). The structures of a few individual proteins or domains have been determined (10–13), and we now contribute the structure of a coiled-coil region of Spc42. Interactions between SPB components have been mapped at low resolution using yeast two-hybrid or immunoprecipitation approaches (1, 6, 14, 15). A recent study from the Davis laboratory devised a model of the central plaque based on in vivo FRET data (14). This model gave improved resolution because fluorescent probes were attached to either end of SPB proteins. Now we further refine aspects of the structure by demonstrating how some of the SPB proteins may interact, via coiled coils. We found many self-associations and few pairwise heteroassociations between coiled-coil regions of the core SPB proteins. These observations and subsequent biophysical characterization add to the constraints describing the molecular architecture of the SPB, as discussed below.

The large size of the SPB requires extensive oligomerization involving multiple copies of each protein. Thus, it is not surprising that the coiled coil, a very common oligomerization domain, is ubiquitous among proteins of the SPB. Coiled coils could play a role in SPB assembly by creating homo-oligomeric modules, by mediating interaction within a multiprotein module, or by linking distinct autonomous modules together. Our data suggest all types of roles. For example, strongly self-associating coiled coils in Spc29, Spc42, and Spc72 likely comprise subunits that may then assemble, via weaker self- or heteroassociating coiled coils or other interactions, into the larger complex. The weak interaction that we observed between Spc97 and Spc98_1 coiled coils may help to assemble the γ-tubulin complex, comprised of Tub4, Spc97, and Spc98. Attachment of the γ-tubulin complex to the rest of the outer plaque may involve the association of the Spc72_1 and Spc97 coiled coils. It is reasonable to assume that very weak interactions observed between isolated domains in vitro may nevertheless be functionally significant in the SPB. Local concentrations in the complex are very high, and avidity may play a large role.

Electron micrographs revealed that the central plaque of the SPB consists of a hexagonal lattice (3). Spc42 was sufficient to establish the framework of this lattice, based on its localization to the central plaque and the observation that its overexpression led to the formation of a lattice that extends outside the diameter of the SPB. Electron-dense features in the micrographs of Spc42 lie on a 3-fold axis, are ~25 Å in diameter, and have been interpreted as trimers or trimers of dimers (3). Our data support the latter model, also favored by Muller et al. (14). The trimer of dimers structure from HIV gp41 is ~35 Å in diameter (61), compatible with the low-resolution EM measurement. The available evidence thus leads to a model in which a homodimer formed via the first coiled-coil domain of Spc42 may further associate via a trimeric interface involving another part of the protein. This homotrimerization is probably not mediated by a coiled coil, given our observations that Spc42_2 and Spc42_3 do not self- or heteroassociate. Spc42 further associates with other proteins, potentially via coiled coils involving Spc42_2 and Spc42_3, to assemble the rest of the SPB complex.

The entire coiled-coil region of Cnm67 has been proposed to form a coiled-coil support separating intermediate layer 2 and the outer plaque, similar to the way the coiled-coil region of Spc10 separates the central and inner plaques (53, 62). Paircoil2 did not predict a continuous coiled coil but rather split the region into two or three individual coiled coils (Figure S1 in Supporting Information and Tables 1 and S1 in Supporting Information). A dramatic dip in score for over 25 residues, including helix-breaking prolines and a glycine and loss of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic patterning, led us to separate Cnm67 into three shorter sequences and test these in four peptides. However, our data are consistent with a parallel coiled-coil homooligomer that includes all of the regions tested serving a role as a spacer. We found that Cnm67_1, Cnm67_2, and Cnm67_3 each form a weak self-associating coiled coil and all three coiled coils showed evidence of parallel orientation (Figures 2 and 3). Multicoil also predicted a strong dimer preference for all three sequences (Table 1). This complex may be more stable in the context of the full-length Cnm67 protein or the SPB complex. The coiled-coil disrupting features detected by Paircoil2 could potentially have structural or functional consequences.

Although our data add to knowledge of the structure of the SPB, it is intriguing to speculate about the rest of the complex. Many of the predicted coiled-coil regions were not found to self- or heteroassociate. These sequences may not encode coiled coils, but that cannot be concluded from our data so far. Some coiled coils may require other parts of the protein to form. Weak coiled-coil interactions may not be detectable in our assays but could still be important in the high-density environment of the SPB. Further, only self-association and binary heteroassociation were tested here. Any coiled coils formed from three or more components would not be detected. The reagents that we have prepared are well suited for identifying a role for higher order coiled-coil assembly, and we are developing assays for that purpose. More work is also necessary to determine structures for non-coiled-coil regions and to address how these assemble into the SPB complex, although this is complicated by the lack of other predicted autonomous domains.

As additional data become available, characterized components can be incorporated into models describing the structural details of the SPB. The approach of assembling a model of the whole complex based on constraints derived from multiple data sources was pioneered for the SPB by Muller et al. (14). A similar strategy has recently been used on a larger scale by Sali and colleagues to construct a hybrid computational/experimental model for the nuclear pore complex (63). For the future, an approach of experimental dissection coupled with geometry-guided reassembly is a promising way of advancing our understanding of large protein complexes.
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